§ 1.520

no petition is timely filed or if the decision on petition affirms that no substantial new question of patentability has been raised, the determination shall be final and nonappealable.

[65 FR 76775, Dec. 7, 2000, as amended at 77 FR 46626, Aug. 6, 2012]

§ 1.520 Ex parte reexamination at the initiative of the Director.

The Director, at any time during the period of enforceability of a patent, may determine whether or not a substantial new question of patentability is raised by patents or printed publications which have been discovered by the Director or which have been brought to the Director's attention, even though no request for reexamination has been filed in accordance with §1.510 or §1.913. The Director may initiate ex parte reexamination without a request for reexamination pursuant to §1.510 or §1.913. Normally requests from outside the Office that the Director undertake reexamination on his own initiative will not be considered. Any determination to initiate ex parte reexamination under this section will become a part of the official file of the patent and will be mailed to the patent owner at the address as provided for in §1.33(c).

 $[65~{\rm FR}~76775,\,{\rm Dec.}~7,\,2000]$

Ex Parte Reexamination

§ 1.525 Order for ex parte reexamination.

(a) If a substantial new question of patentability is found pursuant to $\S 1.515$ or $\S 1.520$, the determination will include an order for ex parte reexamination of the patent for resolution of the question. If the order for ex parte reexamination resulted from a petition pursuant to $\S 1.515(c)$, the ex parte reexamination will ordinarily be conducted by an examiner other than the examiner responsible for the initial determination under $\S 1.515(a)$.

(b) The notice published in the *Official Gazette* under §1.11(c) will be considered to be constructive notice and *ex parte* reexamination will proceed.

[65 FR 76775, Dec. 7, 2000]

§1.530 Statement by patent owner in ex parte reexamination; amendment by patent owner in ex parte or interpartes reexamination; inventorship change in ex parte or inter partes reexamination.

(a) Except as provided in §1.510(e), no statement or other response by the patent owner in an *ex parte* reexamination proceeding shall be filed prior to the determinations made in accordance with §1.515 or §1.520. If a premature statement or other response is filed by the patent owner, it will not be acknowledged or considered in making the determination, and it will be returned or discarded (at the Office's option).

(b) The order for *ex parte* reexamination will set a period of not less than two months from the date of the order within which the patent owner may file a statement on the new question of patentability, including any proposed amendments the patent owner wishes to make.

(c) Any statement filed by the patent owner shall clearly point out why the subject matter as claimed is not anticipated or rendered obvious by the prior art patents or printed publications, either alone or in any reasonable combinations. Where the reexamination request was filed by a third party requester, any statement filed by the patent owner must be served upon the ex parte reexamination requester in accordance with §1.248.

(d) Making amendments in a reexamination proceeding. A proposed amendment in an ex parte or an inter partes reexamination proceeding is made by filing a paper directing that proposed specified changes be made to the patent specification, including the claims, or to the drawings. An amendment paper directing that proposed specified changes be made in a reexamination proceeding may be submitted as an accompaniment to a request filed by the patent owner in accordance with §1.510(e), as part of a patent owner statement in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section, or, where permitted, during the prosecution of the reexamination proceeding pursuant to §1.550(a) or §1.937.

(1) Specification other than the claims. Changes to the specification, other